


THIS YEAR'S CROP REPORT IS DEDICATED TO JESS STONESTREET JACKSON 1930-2011



On April 21, 2011, the wine industry lost one of its giants; Sonoma County's own Jess Stonestreet Jackson, founder of Jackson Family Wines and maker of some of the world's most beloved wines. Known for his fearless, iconoclastic approach to business, Jackson became one of the top self-made entrepreneurs by taking chances in businesses that were anything but a sure thing; first by selecting grapes from the best vineyards in California and turning them into a small bottling of Kendall-Jackson Vintner's Reserve that soon became the most popular Chardonnay in America, and later when he purchased two racehorses that are among the most lauded thoroughbreds in decades. A one-time longshoreman and police officer who put himself through University at California Berkeley's Boalt School of Law, Jackson became one of the best-known figures in American viticulture, as Kendall-Jackson became the best-selling Chardonnay in America for over two decades. He went on to found Jackson Family Wines, a winery holding company that, in addition to Kendall-Jackson Wine Estates, owns and operates more than 35 individual wineries located around the world.

Raised in San Francisco during the Great Depression, Jackson worked as a farmer, policeman, and later as a land-use attorney. The law firm he founded went on to argue several cases before the Supreme Court. He started the Kendall-Jackson wine business with the family's 1974 purchase of an 80-acre pear and walnut orchard in Lakeport, California that he converted to a vineyard. In 1982, he produced his first bottle of wine under the Kendall-Jackson label. This decidedly unique Chardonnay was an instant hit with consumers. In 1983 the wine won the first double Platinum Award ever presented by the American Wine Competition.

"From day one we have been a family-owned and family-run business. It is a distinction that is rapidly becoming a rarity in our industry," Jess famously said. "Our family culture is built on the time-honored principles of hard work, integrity, and uncompromising desire for quality and the long-term stewardship of the land."

OFFICE OF THE AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER

Agricultural Commissioner Sealer of Weights and Measures www.sonoma-county.org/agcomm



133 Aviation Blvdevard, Suite 110 Santa Rosa, CA 95403-1077 (707) 565-2371 Fax (707) 565-3850 www.sonoma-county.org/agcomm

June 2012

Karen Ross, Secretary California Department of Food and Agriculture

And

The Honorable Board of Supervisors of Sonoma County:

Shirlee Zane – Third District, Chairwoman

Valerie Brown – First District

David Rabbitt – Second District, Vice Chair

Mike McGuire – Fourth District Efren Carrillo – Fifth District

It is my privilege to present the 2011 Sonoma County Crop Report as prepared pursuant to Section 2279 of the California Food and Agricultural Code. The reported value for 2011 was \$581,031,700, which is a decrease of 1.7% from the 2010 value of \$591,222,000. This report reflects the gross production values; not the net income or costs of production and marketing.

The decrease in gross value for 2011 is attributed primarily to 9.8% decrease in fruit and nut crop values, of which winegrape production value fell by more than \$40 million. Average price for all reported winegrape varieties was up 3.6% and total tonnage of winegrapes was down more than 13%. Unusually cool temperatures in spring and mild summer temperatures dramatically reduced fruit set and subsequent yield. The 2011 harvest got off to a late start, which resulted in losses due to bunch rot as more fruit was exposed to October and November rains. This unusually cold spring affected other industries with apiary production falling by 20% from 2010, as there was a lack of pollen available. The cool spring and mild summer weather was almost perfect for field crops as most growers were able to get several cuttings of their green chop and silage products, which led to a 14% increase in this sector. Livestock and poultry products such as eggs and milk experienced a 20% increase with a value of \$118 million, which is attributed to an increase in the price per unit of market and manufacturing milk. Livestock and poultry production grew by almost 8% with a value of \$62 million due to great prices for lamb and pork. It was another good year for apple production as growers experienced higher yields per acre representing a 30% increase in the value of these crops. The value of the vegetable industry increased by 15% due to greater production, while nursery products rebounded almost 7% from 2010.

This year's report focuses on Sonoma County Local Foods. With the increasing costs of fuel and increasing awareness of the impacts of our food choices on the environment, locally produced foods have become a beacon in our search for sustainability and our desire to strengthen and improve the health of our communities. Our county's agricultural producers and consumers have expressed a very strong commitment to expand the Sonoma County food system. Farm markets, co-ops, community supported agriculture (CSAs), subscription programs, and agri-tourism all serve to bring local foods closer to us. Consumer demand for local products has also permeated the mainstream grocery industry as more and more local products appear on the shelves of our grocers. Local food choices keep money in Sonoma County.

I would like to extend my gratitude and appreciation to all of our agricultural practitioners whose participation makes this report possible. Sonoma County is a beautiful place and we are blessed to live in a county where people value the bounty of this agrarian landscape; a place where people value the concept of sustainability. A special thanks goes out to the outstanding staff of the Agricultural Commissioner's Office who worked on this report, especially Andrew Smith.

Respectfully submitted,

Tony Linegar

Agricultural Commissioner / Sealer of Weights and Measures

TABLE OF CONTENTS

JESS STONESTREET JACKSON DEDICATIONINSIDE FRONT COVER
LETTER TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS1
SONOMA COUNTY LOCAL FOODS
MILLION DOLLAR CROPS AND NURSERY PRODUCTION4
FRUIT AND NUT SUMMARY AND WORLD WINEGRAPE ACREAGE5
WINEGRAPE PRODUCTION (REDS)6
WINEGRAPE PRODUCTION (WHITES)7
APPLE PRODUCTION, APIARY PRODUCTS, AND VEGETABLES8
LIVESTOCK AND POULTRY SUMMARY9
FIELD CROPS10
RECAPITULATION11
SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE REPORT12
VINEYARD AND ORCHARD SITE DEVELOPMENT13
COMMODITY EXPORTS14
TIMBER HARVEST AND COMMERCIAL FISH LANDINGS15
AGRICULTURE DIVISION SUMMARY16
WEIGHTS AND MEASURES DIVISION SUMMARY17
WINNING AG DAY ESSAY18
DEPARTMENT STAFF19
IN MEMORIAM JOSEPH GRAY20



"At home T serve the kind of food T know the story behind " - Michael Rollan

With the increasing costs of fuel and increasing awareness of the impacts of our food choices on the environment, locally produced foods have become a beacon in our search for sustainability and our desire to strengthen and improve the health of our communities. Sonoma County is blessed with a fabulous climate for growing food. This is evident from the overwhelming diversity of local food choices. We produce everything from milk and cheese, to apples and other tree fruits, nuts, berry crops, oils, canned and other processed products, eggs, meats, and an ever increasing array of vegetables. Increasingly, these local foods are not only marketed through farmers' markets and community-supported agriculture (CSA), but consumer demand for local products has also permeated the mainstream grocery industry as more and more local products appear on the shelves of our local grocers from cooperatives to large chain supermarkets. Most will agree that local foods are healthier and fresher. Reasons for sourcing local foods include food safety, environmental concerns, social equity and community involvement among others.

By supporting our local food system we are ensuring the long-term viability of our agricultural industry and we are putting more money in the pockets of our local producers, processors and distributors, which serves to bolster our local economy. Food security is of increasing importance and additional focus has been made to ensure that everyone has access to a safe, sufficient, and nutritious supply of food. Sonoma County citizens place strong value in a local food supply as it builds relationships between the agrarian and urban communities, fosters food literacy about production practices, and fortifies a shared sense of place and community.

Our county's agricultural producers and consumers have expressed a very strong commitment to preserving the Sonoma County food system. One facet of this commitment is an increase in the infrastructure necessary to improve the production and sale of local food. Particularly, processing infrastructure could be improved as locally produced livestock may need to be shipped long distances for processing. Certified farmers' markets, co-ops, community supported agriculture (CSAs), subscription programs, and agri-tourism all serve to bring local foods closer to us. Sonoma County's food system is one in which sustainable food production, processing, distribution, and consumption is integrated to increase food security and ensure the economic, ecological and social sustainability of our communities. Sonoma County local food is the kind of food that you know the story about!

MILLION DOLLAR CROPS







NURSERY PRODUCTION

Product	Year	Quantity	Unit	Total
Ornamentals	2011	1,347,078	plant	\$9,221,300
Offidillefitals	2010	1,198,169	plant	\$10,853,100
Bedding Plants	2011	383,280	flat	\$4,766,400
bedding Flants	2010	263,005	flat	\$4,184,000
Christmas Trees	2011	5,234	each	\$185,300
Christinas frees	2010	6,919	each	\$297,700
Cut Flowers	2011			\$3,126,400
Cut riowers	2010			\$2,792,100
Miscellaneous Products (a)	2011			\$7,239,500
Miscellaneous Products (a)	2010			\$4,856,400
TOTAL VALUE	2011			\$24,538,900
TOTAL VALUE	2010			\$22,983,300

⁽a) includes grapevines, deciduous fruit and nut trees, liners, bulbs, forest seedlings, house plants, orchids, cacti, herbaceous perennials, dry flowers, turf and wreaths

FRUIT AND NUT SUMMARY AND WORLD WINEGRAPE ACREAGE

FRUIT AND NUT SUMMARY

Cro	рр	Year	Bearing Acres	Tons/ Acre	Total Tons	\$/Ton	Dollar Value	Total
	Apples (all)	2011	2,322	14.74	30,926	\$246		\$7,619,700
		2010	2,616	10.04	26,254	\$223		\$5,861,600
	Fresh	2011					\$1,473,900	
		2010					\$1,243,400	
	Processed (a)	2011					\$6,145,700	
		2010					\$4,618,300	
	Grapes (wine)	2011	58,057	2.87	166,619	\$2,083		\$347,080,300
		2010	56,522	3.40	191,999*	\$2,011*		\$387,848,600 *
	Olives	2011	567					\$95,700
		2010	465					\$176,100
	Miscellaneous (b)	2011						\$891,500
		2010						\$542,700
TO	TAL	2011						\$355,637,200
		2010						\$394,429,000*

- (a) includes canned, juice, cider, and vinegar
- (b) includes bush-berries, kiwi, black walnuts, plums, all pears, strawberries, figs, chestnuts, prunes, etc.
- * Revised from 2010

WORLD WINEGRAPE ACREAGE



FRUIT AND NUT
ACREAGE SUMMARY

		Non-	
Crop	Bearing	Bearing	Total
Apples	2,322	0	2,322
Winegrapes	58,057	2,128	60,184
Olives	516	51	567
Pears	33	0	33
Plums (incl. Prunes)	23	0	23
Walnuts	142	0	142
Miscellaneous	731	30	761
TOTAL ACREAGE	61,823	2,209	64,031


********	· ·	_ , , , _ , , ,	
Country	Tota	l Acreage	% Change
	2006	2009	
SPAIN	2,805,151	2,724,700	-2.87%
FRANCE	2,066,561	1,966,510	-4.84%
ITALY	1,850,300	1,712,607	-7.44%
TURKEY	1,269,674	1,200,000	-5.49%
CHINA	1,042,769	1,200,000	15.08%
UNITED STATES	937,179	943,750	0.70%
IRAN	778,365	778,365	0.00%
ARGENTINA	551,117	560,000	1.61%
PORTUGAL	549,867	536,270	-2.47%
CHILE	444,780	449,722	1.11%
ROMANIA	470,216	441,153	-6.18%
AUSTRALIA	390,600	402,639	3.08%
EGYPT	363,904	385,000	5.80%
MOLDOVA	346,896	337,000	-2.85%
SOUTH AFRICA	278,524	325,000	16.69%
BULGARIA	318,406	265,000	-16.77%
UZBEKISTAN	250,006	250,000	0.00%
GERMANY	245,054	247,704	1.08%
ALL OTHER COUNTRIES	3,219,548	3,179,578	-1.24%
TOTAL ACREAGE	18,178,917	17,904,998	-1.51%

WINEGRAPE PRODUCTION

Cabernet Franc 2011 655.9 6.7 662.6 1,568.6 \$ 2,209.15 \$ 3,465,300 Cabernet Sauvignon 2010 653.9 14.3 668.2 1,436.3 \$ 2,166.55 \$ 3,111,900 Cabernet Sauvignon 2011 11,726.8 291.5 12,018.3 33,126.8 \$ 2,111.24 \$ 69,938,700 Carignane 2011 615.4 0.0 615.4 373.2 \$ 2,019.14 \$ 753,600 Malbec 2010 180.3 1.0 181.3 270.4 \$ 1,949.11 \$ 527,100 Malbec 2011 322.4 76.6 399.0 1,110.5 \$ 2,340.51 \$ 2,599,200 Merlot 2010 310.4 69.1 379.5 770.5 \$ 2,430.83 \$ 1,873,000 Merlot 2011 5,126.6 23.4 5,149.9 14,670.5 \$ 1,380.85 \$ 20,257,800 Meunier 2011 108.5 15.0 123.5 301.4 \$ 2,698.80 \$ 813,500 Petite Sirah 2011 57				Acreage			Production	1
Cabernet Franc 2010 653.9 14.3 668.2 1,436.3 \$ 2,166.55 \$ 3,111,900 Cabernet Sauvignon 2011 11,726.8 291.5 12,018.3 33,126.8 \$ 2,111.24 \$ 69,938,700 Carignane 2010 11,549.0 468.3 12,017.3 37,933.3 \$ 2,083.96 \$ 79,051,500 Carignane 2010 180.3 1.0 181.3 270.4 \$ 1,949.11 \$ 527,100 Malbec 2011 322.4 76.6 399.0 1,110.5 \$ 2,340.51 \$ 2,599,200 Merlot 2010 310.4 69.1 379.5 770.5 \$ 2,430.83 \$ 1,873,000 Merlot 2011 5,126.6 23.4 5,149.9 14,670.5 \$ 1,380.85 \$ 20,257,800 Meunier 2011 108.5 15.0 123.5 301.4 \$ 2,698.80 \$ 813,500 Petite Sirah 2011 575.0 22.2 597.1 2,521.1 \$ 2,325.25 \$ 5,862,200 Petite Verdot 2010	Red Varieties	Year	Bearing	Non-Bearing	Total	Tons	\$/Ton	Total Value
Cabernet Sauvignon Cabernet Sauvignon Carignane Carign	Cahamat Evana	2011	655.9	6.7	662.6	1,568.6	\$ 2,209.15	\$ 3,465,300
Cabernet Sauvignon 2010 11,549.0 468.3 12,017.3 37,933.3 \$ 2,083.96 \$ 79,051,500 Carignane 2011 615.4 0.0 615.4 373.2 \$ 2,019.14 \$ 753,600 Malbec 2010 180.3 1.0 181.3 270.4 \$ 1,949.11 \$ 527,100 Malbec 2011 322.4 76.6 399.0 1,110.5 \$ 2,340.51 \$ 2,599,200 Merlot 2010 310.4 69.1 379.5 770.5 \$ 2,430.83 \$ 1,873,000 Merlot 2010 5,126.6 23.4 5,149.9 14,670.5 \$ 1,380.85 \$ 20,257,800 Meunier 2011 108.5 15.0 123.5 301.4 \$ 2,698.80 \$ 813,500 Petite Sirah 2011 575.0 22.2 597.1 2,521.1 \$ 2,325.25 \$ 5,862,200 Petite Verdot 2010 522.6 37.7 560.3 2,085.9 \$ 2,377.94 \$ 4,960,200 Pinot Noir 2011 11,862.6 </td <td>Capernet Franc</td> <td>2010</td> <td>653.9</td> <td>14.3</td> <td>668.2</td> <td>1,436.3</td> <td>\$ 2,166.55</td> <td>\$ 3,111,900</td>	Capernet Franc	2010	653.9	14.3	668.2	1,436.3	\$ 2,166.55	\$ 3,111,900
Carignane 2010 11,549.0 468.3 12,017.3 37,933.3 \$ 2,083.96 \$ 79,051,500 Carignane 2011 615.4 0.0 615.4 373.2 \$ 2,019.14 \$ 753,600 2010 180.3 1.0 181.3 270.4 \$ 1,949.11 \$ 527,100 Malbec 2011 322.4 76.6 399.0 1,110.5 \$ 2,340.51 \$ 2,599,200 2010 310.4 69.1 379.5 770.5 \$ 2,430.83 \$ 1,873,000 Merlot 2010 5,248.0 55.6 5,303.6 17,440.9 \$ 1,297.08 \$ 22,622,300 Meunier 2011 108.5 15.0 123.5 301.4 \$ 2,698.80 \$ 813,500 Petite Sirah 2011 575.0 22.2 597.1 2,521.1 \$ 2,325.25 \$ 5,862,200 Petite Verdot 2010 522.6 37.7 560.3 2,085.9 \$ 2,377.94 \$ 4,960,200 Petite Verdot 2010 202.9 9.2 212.1 695.5 \$ 2,476.49 \$ 1,722,400 Pinot Noir 2010 11,456.0 675.5 12,131.5 29,791.3 \$ 2,825.12 \$ 84,164,000 Sangiovese 2011 89.5 36.5 126.0 845.2 \$ 2,204.51 \$ 1,863,300 Sangiovese 2010 259.7 48.4 308.1 983.6 \$ 2,244.09 \$ 2,207,300	Cahamat Saurianan	2011	11,726.8	291.5	12,018.3	33,126.8	\$ 2,111.24	\$ 69,938,700
Carignane 2010 180.3 1.0 181.3 270.4 \$ 1,949.11 \$ 527,100 Malbec 2011 322.4 76.6 399.0 1,110.5 \$ 2,340.51 \$ 2,599,200 Merlot 2010 310.4 69.1 379.5 770.5 \$ 2,430.83 \$ 1,873,000 Merlot 2011 5,126.6 23.4 5,149.9 14,670.5 \$ 1,380.85 \$ 20,257,800 Meunier 2010 5,248.0 55.6 5,303.6 17,440.9 \$ 1,297.08 \$ 22,622,300 Meunier 2011 108.5 15.0 123.5 301.4 \$ 2,698.80 \$ 813,500 Petite Sirah 2011 575.0 22.2 597.1 2,521.1 \$ 2,325.25 \$ 5,862,200 Petite Verdot 2010 522.6 37.7 560.3 2,085.9 \$ 2,377.94 \$ 4,960,200 Petite Verdot 2010 202.9 9.2 212.1 695.5 \$ 2,476.49 \$ 1,722,400 Pinot Noir 2011 11,862.6	Cabernet Sauvignon	2010	11,549.0	468.3	12,017.3	37,933.3	\$ 2,083.96	\$ 79,051,500
Malbec 2010 180.3 1.0 181.3 270.4 \$ 1,949.11 \$ 527,100 Malbec 2011 322.4 76.6 399.0 1,110.5 \$ 2,340.51 \$ 2,599,200 Merlot 2010 310.4 69.1 379.5 770.5 \$ 2,430.83 \$ 1,873,000 Merlot 2011 5,126.6 23.4 5,149.9 14,670.5 \$ 1,380.85 \$ 20,257,800 Meunier 2010 5,248.0 55.6 5,303.6 17,440.9 \$ 1,297.08 \$ 22,622,300 Meunier 2011 108.5 15.0 123.5 301.4 \$ 2,698.80 \$ 813,500 Petite Sirah 2010 108.5 15.0 123.5 406.5 \$ 2,928.56 \$ 1,190,500 Petite Verdot 2010 522.6 37.7 560.3 2,085.9 \$ 2,377.94 \$ 4,960,200 Petite Verdot 2010 202.9 9.2 212.1 695.5 \$ 2,461.02 \$ 2,096,100 Pinot Noir 2011 11,862.6 377.1 12,239.7 28,350.2	Carianana	2011	615.4	0.0	615.4	373.2	\$ 2,019.14	\$ 753,600
Malbec 2010 310.4 69.1 379.5 770.5 \$ 2,430.83 \$ 1,873,000 Merlot 2011 5,126.6 23.4 5,149.9 14,670.5 \$ 1,380.85 \$ 20,257,800 Meunier 2010 5,248.0 55.6 5,303.6 17,440.9 \$ 1,297.08 \$ 22,622,300 Meunier 2011 108.5 15.0 123.5 301.4 \$ 2,698.80 \$ 813,500 Petite Sirah 2011 575.0 22.2 597.1 2,521.1 \$ 2,325.25 \$ 5,862,200 Petite Verdot 2010 522.6 37.7 560.3 2,085.9 \$ 2,377.94 \$ 4,960,200 Petite Verdot 2011 209.2 2.6 211.8 851.7 \$ 2,461.02 \$ 2,096,100 Pinot Noir 2011 11,862.6 377.1 12,239.7 28,350.2 \$ 2,902.47 \$ 82,285,700 Pinot Noir 2010 11,456.0 675.5 12,131.5 29,791.3 \$ 2,825.12 \$ 84,164,000 Sangiovese 2011	Carignane	2010	180.3	1.0	181.3	270.4	\$ 1,949.11	\$ 527,100
Merlot 2010 310.4 69.1 379.5 770.5 \$ 2,430.83 \$ 1,873,000 Merlot 2011 5,126.6 23.4 5,149.9 14,670.5 \$ 1,380.85 \$ 20,257,800 2010 5,248.0 55.6 5,303.6 17,440.9 \$ 1,297.08 \$ 22,622,300 Meunier 2010 108.5 15.0 123.5 301.4 \$ 2,698.80 \$ 813,500 2010 108.5 15.0 123.5 406.5 \$ 2,928.56 \$ 1,190,500 Petite Sirah 2010 575.0 22.2 597.1 2,521.1 \$ 2,325.25 \$ 5,862,200 Petite Verdot 2010 522.6 37.7 560.3 2,085.9 \$ 2,377.94 \$ 4,960,200 Petite Verdot 2010 202.9 9.2 212.1 695.5 \$ 2,476.49 \$ 1,722,400 Pinot Noir 2010 11,456.0 675.5 12,131.5 29,791.3 \$ 2,825.12 \$ 84,164,000 Sangiovese 2011 89.5 36.5 126.0 845.2 \$ 2,204.51 \$ 1,863,300 Sangiovese 2010 259.7 48.4 308.1 983.6 \$ 2,244.09 \$ 2,207,300	Malla a	2011	322.4	76.6	399.0	1,110.5	\$ 2,340.51	\$ 2,599,200
Merlot 2010 5,248.0 55.6 5,303.6 17,440.9 \$ 1,297.08 \$ 22,622,300 Meunier 2011 108.5 15.0 123.5 301.4 \$ 2,698.80 \$ 813,500 Petite Sirah 2010 108.5 15.0 123.5 406.5 \$ 2,928.56 \$ 1,190,500 Petite Sirah 2011 575.0 22.2 597.1 2,521.1 \$ 2,325.25 \$ 5,862,200 Petite Verdot 2010 522.6 37.7 560.3 2,085.9 \$ 2,377.94 \$ 4,960,200 Petite Verdot 2010 202.9 9.2 211.8 851.7 \$ 2,461.02 \$ 2,096,100 Pinot Noir 2011 11,862.6 377.1 12,239.7 28,350.2 \$ 2,902.47 \$ 82,285,700 Pinot Noir 2010 11,456.0 675.5 12,131.5 29,791.3 \$ 2,825.12 \$ 84,164,000 Sangiovese 2011 89.5 36.5 126.0 845.2 \$ 2,204.51 \$ 1,863,300 Sangiovese 2010	Maibec	2010	310.4	69.1	379.5	770.5	\$ 2,430.83	\$ 1,873,000
Meunier 2010 5,248.0 55.6 5,303.6 17,440.9 \$1,297.08 \$22,622,300	M	2011	5,126.6	23.4	5,149.9	14,670.5	\$ 1,380.85	\$ 20,257,800
Meunier 2010 108.5 15.0 123.5 406.5 \$ 2,928.56 \$ 1,190,500 Petite Sirah 2011 575.0 22.2 597.1 2,521.1 \$ 2,325.25 \$ 5,862,200 Petite Sirah 2010 522.6 37.7 560.3 2,085.9 \$ 2,377.94 \$ 4,960,200 Petite Verdot 2011 209.2 2.6 211.8 851.7 \$ 2,461.02 \$ 2,096,100 Pinot Noir 2011 11,862.6 377.1 12,239.7 28,350.2 \$ 2,902.47 \$ 82,285,700 Pinot Noir 2010 11,456.0 675.5 12,131.5 29,791.3 \$ 2,825.12 \$ 84,164,000 Sangiovese 2011 89.5 36.5 126.0 845.2 \$ 2,204.51 \$ 1,863,300 Sangiovese 2010 259.7 48.4 308.1 983.6 \$ 2,244.09 \$ 2,207,300	Meriot	2010	5,248.0	55.6	5,303.6	17,440.9	\$ 1,297.08	\$ 22,622,300
Petite Sirah Petite Sirah Petite Verdot Petite Verdot Pinot Noir Sangiovese 2010 108.5 15.0 123.5 15.0 123.5 406.5 \$ 2,928.56 \$ 1,190,500 \$ 2,000 \$ 2,325.25 \$ 5,862,200 20.1 20.1 20.1 20.2 20.1 20.2 20.1 20.2 20.3 37.7 560.3 20.85.9 \$ 2,377.94 \$ 4,960,200 \$ 2,096,100 \$ 2,096,100 \$ 20.0 20	Marrian	2011	108.5	15.0	123.5	301.4	\$ 2,698.80	\$ 813,500
Petite Sirah 2010 522.6 37.7 560.3 2,085.9 \$ 2,377.94 \$ 4,960,200 Petite Verdot 2011 209.2 2.6 211.8 851.7 \$ 2,461.02 \$ 2,096,100 2010 202.9 9.2 212.1 695.5 \$ 2,476.49 \$ 1,722,400 Pinot Noir 2011 11,862.6 377.1 12,239.7 28,350.2 \$ 2,902.47 \$ 82,285,700 2010 11,456.0 675.5 12,131.5 29,791.3 \$ 2,825.12 \$ 84,164,000 Sangiovese 2011 89.5 36.5 126.0 845.2 \$ 2,204.51 \$ 1,863,300 2010 259.7 48.4 308.1 983.6 \$ 2,244.09 \$ 2,207,300	Meunier	2010	108.5	15.0	123.5	406.5	\$ 2,928.56	\$ 1,190,500
Petite Verdot Petite Verdot 2010 522.6 37.7 560.3 2,085.9 \$2,377.94 \$4,960,200 2011 209.2 2.6 211.8 851.7 \$2,461.02 \$2,096,100 2010 202.9 9.2 212.1 695.5 \$2,476.49 \$1,722,400 Pinot Noir 2011 11,862.6 377.1 12,239.7 28,350.2 \$2,902.47 \$82,285,700 2010 11,456.0 675.5 12,131.5 29,791.3 \$2,825.12 \$84,164,000 Sangiovese 2011 89.5 36.5 126.0 845.2 \$2,204.51 \$1,863,300 2010 259.7 48.4 308.1 983.6 \$2,244.09 \$2,207,300	D. C. C. I	2011	575.0	22.2	597.1	2,521.1	\$ 2,325.25	\$ 5,862,200
Petite Verdot 2010 202.9 9.2 212.1 695.5 \$ 2,476.49 \$ 1,722,400 Pinot Noir 2011 11,862.6 377.1 12,239.7 28,350.2 \$ 2,902.47 \$ 82,285,700 2010 11,456.0 675.5 12,131.5 29,791.3 \$ 2,825.12 \$ 84,164,000 Sangiovese 2011 89.5 36.5 126.0 845.2 \$ 2,204.51 \$ 1,863,300 2010 259.7 48.4 308.1 983.6 \$ 2,244.09 \$ 2,207,300	Petite Siran	2010	522.6	37.7	560.3	2,085.9	\$ 2,377.94	\$ 4,960,200
Pinot Noir 2010 202.9 9.2 212.1 695.5 \$ 2,476.49 \$ 1,722,400 2011 11,862.6 377.1 12,239.7 28,350.2 \$ 2,902.47 \$ 82,285,700 2010 11,456.0 675.5 12,131.5 29,791.3 \$ 2,825.12 \$ 84,164,000 Sangiovese 2011 89.5 36.5 126.0 845.2 \$ 2,204.51 \$ 1,863,300 2010 259.7 48.4 308.1 983.6 \$ 2,244.09 \$ 2,207,300	Des Vala	2011	209.2	2.6	211.8	851.7	\$ 2,461.02	\$ 2,096,100
Pinot Noir 2010 11,456.0 675.5 12,131.5 29,791.3 \$ 2,825.12 \$ 84,164,000 Sangiovese 2011 89.5 36.5 126.0 845.2 \$ 2,204.51 \$ 1,863,300 2010 259.7 48.4 308.1 983.6 \$ 2,244.09 \$ 2,207,300	Petite verdot	2010	202.9	9.2	212.1	695.5	\$ 2,476.49	\$ 1,722,400
Sangiovese 2010 11,456.0 675.5 12,131.5 29,791.3 \$ 2,825.12 \$ 84,164,000 2011 89.5 36.5 126.0 845.2 \$ 2,204.51 \$ 1,863,300 2010 259.7 48.4 308.1 983.6 \$ 2,244.09 \$ 2,207,300	Dr. (A)	2011	11,862.6	377.1	12,239.7	28,350.2	\$ 2,902.47	\$ 82,285,700
Sangiovese 2010 259.7 48.4 308.1 983.6 \$ 2,244.09 \$ 2,207,300	Pinot Noir	2010	11,456.0	675.5	12,131.5	29,791.3	\$ 2,825.12	\$ 84,164,000
2010 259.7 48.4 308.1 983.6 \$ 2,244.09 \$ 2,207,300	c .	2011	89.5	36.5	126.0	845.2	\$ 2,204.51	\$ 1,863,300
	Sangiovese	2010	259.7	48.4	308.1	983.6	\$ 2,244.09	\$ 2,207,300
2011 1,773.1 19.1 1,792.3 3,614.0 \$ 2,124.31 \$ 7,677,300	c I cl.	2011	1,773.1	19.1	1,792.3	3,614.0	\$ 2,124.31	\$ 7,677,300
Syrah-Shiraz 2010 1,791.6 51.7 1,843.3 4,587.6 \$ 2,030.22 \$ 9,313,900	Syran-Sniraz	2010	1,791.6	51.7	1,843.3	4,587.6	\$ 2,030.22	\$ 9,313,900
2011 5,216.8 81.1 5,297.9 13,101.0 \$ 2,357.61 \$ 30,887,100		2011	5,216.8	81.1	5,297.9	13,101.0	\$ 2,357.61	\$ 30,887,100
Zinfandel 2010 5,055.0 112.5 5,167.5 10,864.4 \$ 2,260.27 \$ 24,556,500	Zinfandel	2010	5.055.0	112.5	5.167.5	10.864.4	\$ 2.260.27	\$ 24.556.500
			-,	. 12.0	-,	,	7 -,	:,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
TOTAL PEDG 2011 38,281.7 951.7 39,233.4 101,818.5 \$ 2,301.97 (a) \$ 234,383,132	TOTAL DEDC	2011	38,281.7	951.7	39,233.4	101,818.5	\$ 2,301.97 (a) \$ 234,383,132
TOTAL REDS 2010 37,337.9* 1558.3 38,896.1 108,497.4* \$ 2,211.08* (a) \$ 239,896,431*	TOTAL KEDS	2010	37,337.9*	1558.3	38,896.1	108,497.4*	\$ 2,211.08* (a) \$ 239,896,431*

^{*} Revised from 2010

TOTAL TONS BY VARIETY 2001-2011




⁽a) weighted average


WINEGRAPE PRODUCTION

			Acreage			Production	
White Varieties	Year	Bearing	Non-Bearing	Total	Tons	\$/Ton	Total Value
Classidania	2011	15,424.1	895.2	16,319.3	52,374.4	\$ 1,843.94	\$ 96,575,300
Chardonnay	2010	15,060.0	1,263.0	16,323.0	66,157.4	\$ 1,845.52	\$ 122,094,900
French Colombard	2011	35.6	0.0	35.6	86.3	\$ 519.38	\$ 44,900
French Colombard	2010	35.6	0.0	35.6	125.1	\$ 523.06	\$ 65,500
Gewürztraminer	2011	135.0	0.0	135.0	220.1	\$ 1,451.86	\$ 319,600
Gewui zu ammei	2010	138.1	5.1	143.2	274.6	\$ 1,712.28	\$ 470,200
Muscat Blanc	2011	16.7	0.4	17.0	40.2	\$ 2,062.50	\$ 83,000
Muscat Didlic	2010	18.0	12.4	30.4	67.I	\$ 1,941.23	\$ 130,000
Pinot Blanc	2011	45.1	6.4	51.6	185.8	\$ 1,730.81	\$ 321,600
PIHOL DIGHC	2010	46.4	0.0	46.4	237.6	\$ 1,767.14	\$ 419,900
Pinot Gris	2011	476.2	1.5	477.7	1,422.7	\$ 1,635.34	\$ 2,326,600
Pillot Gris	2010	445.5	22.5	468.0	1,715.8	\$ 1,695.78	\$ 2,909,700
Sauvignon Blanc	2011	2,425.6	142.4	2,568.1	8,886.0	\$ 1,368.74	\$ 12,162,700
Sauvigilon Bidne	2010	2,341.0	183.2	2,524.2	13,103.3	\$ 1,317.40	\$ 17,262,300
Semillon	2011	127.4	0.3	127.7	437.0	\$ 2,298.45	\$ 1,004,500
Semilion	2010	133.4	0.0	133.4	440.6	\$ 2,575.91	\$ 1,135,000
Viognier	2011	241.8	1.5	243.3	361.4	\$ 2,282.41	\$ 824,900
viognier	2010	231.5	2.6	234.1	623.4	\$ 2,313.36	\$ 1,442,200
White Riesling	2011	37.2	0.0	37.2	206.4	\$ 1,944.56	\$ 401,400
write Klesinig	2010	29.9	2.9	32.8	148.3	\$ 2,425.53	\$ 359,800
TOTAL WHITES	2011	18,964.8	1,047.7	20,012.5	64,800.3	\$ 1,784.38 (a)	\$ 115,628,359
TOTAL WHITES	2010	18,717.8	1,531.1	19,971.0	83,502.0*	\$ 1,771.84* (a)	\$ 147,952,184*
TOTAL	2011	57,809.6	2,077.8	59,887.4	166,618.8	\$ 2,083.08 (a)	347,080,290
WINEGRAPES	2010	56,522.2	3,137.3	59,659.5	191,999.4*	\$ 2,011.14* (a)	\$ 387,848,615*

^{*} Revised from 2010 (a) weighted average



PRICE PER TON BY VARIETY 2000-2011



APPLE PRODUCTION

Crop		Year	Bearing Acres	Tons/ Acre	Total Tons	\$/Ton	Dollar Value	Total
		2011	600	17.68	10,612	\$241		\$2,552,900
Gra	venstein	2010	763	7.11	5,428	\$312		\$1,692,300
	Fusah	2011			864	\$620	\$535,794	
	Fresh	2010			355	\$1,667	\$592,608	
	Dunganand (a)	2011			9,748	\$207	\$2,017,030	
	Processed (a)	2010			5,073	\$217	\$1,099,690	
Lata	Annlas	2011	1,722	11.80	20,314	\$249		\$5,066,800
Ldle	Apples	2010	1,853	11.35	20,826	\$200		\$4,169,300
	Fresh	2011			512	\$1,831	\$938,051	
	riesii	2010			368	\$1,769	\$650,755	
	Processed (a)	2011			19,802	\$208	\$4,128,650	
	Processed (a)	2010			20,458	\$172	\$3,518,521	
TOTAL		2011	2,322	14.74	30,926	\$246		\$7,619,700
IOIAI	<u> </u>	2010	2,616	10.04	26,254	\$223		\$5,861,600

⁽a) includes canned, juice, vinegar, cider

APIARY PRODUCTS



	2211	h2.27 12.2
	2011	\$267,400
TOTAL VALUE*	2010	\$334,500
*includes honey.	wax and hives	rented for pollination



VEGETABLES



		Harvested	
Crop	Year	Acreage	Dollar Value
Miscellaneous	2011	731	\$ 9,470,800
Vegetables (a)	2010	710	\$ 8,212,200

⁽a) includes crucifers, squash, melons, mushrooms, potatoes, peppers, tomatoes, sprouts, lettuces, etc.



LIVESTOCK AND POULTRY

		Number	Live			
Item	Year	of Head	Weight	Unit	\$/Unit	Total
Cattle/Calves	2011	30,206	157,634	cwt.	\$77.5 I	\$12,218,200
Cattle/Calves	2010	29,714	154,110	cwt.	\$75.59	\$11,649,200
Shaan/Lamba	2011	26,352	31,430	cwt.	\$162.65	\$5,112,100
Sheep/Lambs	2010	23,508	26,312	cwt.	\$95.7I	\$2,518,300
Hara	2011	936	2,235	cwt.	\$67.01	\$149,760
Hogs	2010	1,040	2,483	cwt.	\$54.24	\$134,700
Missallanagus (a)	2011					\$44,612,500
Miscellaneous (a)	2010					\$43,293,300
TOTAL VALUE	2011					\$62,092,600
TOTAL VALUE	2010					\$57,595,500

⁽a) includes chicks, ducks, turkey poults, fryers, roasters, turkeys, etc.

LIVESTOCK AND POULTRY PRODUCTS

Item	Year	Production	Unit	\$/Unit	Total
Milk, Market	2011	4,818,494	cwt.	\$19.54	\$94,153,400
WillK, Widtket	2010	4,932,001	cwt.	\$15.75	\$77,679,100
Mille Manufaatuming	2011	8,875	cwt.	\$18.48	\$164,000
Milk, Manufacturing	2010	6,458	cwt.	\$13.78	\$89,000
W/I	2011	84,154	lb.	\$0.89	\$75,100
Wool	2010	80,147	lb.	\$0.85	\$68,200
Missellanesus (s)	2011				\$24,311,400
Miscellaneous (a)	2010				\$20,799,800
TOTAL VALUE	2011				\$118,703,900
TOTAL VALUE	2010				\$98,636,100

⁽a) includes market duck eggs, turkey hatching eggs, chicken eggs for consumption, egg by-products, and goat milk

LIVESTOCK AND POULTRY INVENTORY


Cattle and Calves (all) *	73,000
Milk Cows and heifers (2 years and over) 29,500	
Beef Cows and heifers (2 years and over) 11,300	
Sheep and Lambs (all)	20,110
Hogs	936
Laying Hens and Pullets	5,764,700
Goats	1,907

^{*} Number of Head as of January 1, 2011 - Furnished by California Agricultural Statistics Service


			Harvested	Ton/	Total			
Crop		Year	Acreage	Acre	Tons	Unit	Per Unit	Total
		2011	5,645	2.4	13,344	ton	\$127.08	\$1,695,800
Hay, Oat		2010	5,247	2.2	11,538	ton	\$88.84	\$1,025,000
Hay Valuntaan		2011	1,900	3.1	5,978	ton	\$30.11	\$180,000
Hay, Volunteer		2010	1,543	5.0	7,715	ton	\$17.90	\$138,100
Gran Chan	(2)	2011	2,093	14.3	29,840	ton	\$17.10	\$510,400
Green Chop	(a)	2010	2,053	11.0	22,620	ton	\$19.11	\$432,200
Oats, Grain		2011	740	1.4	1,049	ton	\$208.29	\$218,500
Odts, Grain		2010	874	1.4	1,212	ton	\$177.56	\$215,200
Silaga Cama	(2)	2011	395	23.2	9159	ton	\$47.33	\$433,500
Silage, Corn	(a)	2010	195	29.7	5787	ton	\$28.63	\$165,700
Cilogo Oot	(2)	2011	5560	11.5	63678	ton	\$23.64	\$1,505,400
Silage, Oat	(a)	2010	6164	10.7	65765	ton	\$23.07	\$1,517,200
Chuarri		2011						\$95,200
Straw		2010						\$48,100
Miscellaneous	/l ₂ \	2011						\$982,800
Miscellaneous	(b)	2010						\$766,500
Destant	(-)	2011	6,789			acre	\$100.00	\$679,000
Pasture	(c)	2010	6,858			acre	\$100.00	\$685,800
Dan adan d	(-)	2011	335,017			acre	\$12.00	\$4,020,300
Rangeland	(c)	2010	338,401			acre	\$12.00	\$4,060,900
TOTAL VALUE		2011						\$10,320,900
TOTAL VALUE		2010						\$9,054,700

- (a) much of the green chop and silage is not sold but used on the farm value is determined by its feed equivalent
- (b) includes alfalfa, barley, safflower, wheat, rye, vetch, Sudan, etc.
- (c) Potential grazing value- estimated from Assessor's rolls





2010	2011	% CHANGE
*>> / 5	h > 1 = 1 = 2	
\$334,500	\$267,400	-20.1%
\$8,212,200	\$9,470,800	15.3%
\$9,054,700	\$10,320,900	14.0%
\$22,983,300	\$24,538,900	6.8%
\$57,595,500	\$62,092,600	7.8%
\$98,612,800	\$118,703,900	20.4%
\$394,429,000*	\$355,637,200	-9.8%
\$591,222,000*	\$581,031,700	-1.7%
	\$334,500 \$8,212,200 \$9,054,700 \$22,983,300 \$57,595,500 \$98,612,800 \$394,429,000*	\$334,500 \$267,400 \$8,212,200 \$9,470,800 \$9,054,700 \$10,320,900 \$22,983,300 \$24,538,900 \$57,595,500 \$62,092,600 \$98,612,800 \$118,703,900 \$394,429,000* \$355,637,200



7	011	OPC	ANIC	EADMING	STATISTICS
_	•		ANIC	PAKMING.	31A11311C3

Commodity	Registrants	Acres
Eggs	9	N/A
Fruits/Nuts	107	1,885
Grain/Forage	31	5,354
Meat	16	N/A
Milk	22	N/A
Nurseries	33	7
Pasture/Rangeland	31	12,573
Vegetables	68	281
Winegrapes	40	678
Handlers	25	N/A
Total Individual Registrants	199	20,778

NOXIOUS WEED ERADICATION

Biologists identified three sources of aggressive invasive weeds in Sonoma County. These three weeds are A-rated Rush Skeletonweed (Chondrilla juncea), Iberian Starthistle (Centaurea iberica), and Illyrian Thistle (Onopordum Illyricum). Over the course of the year, several visits were made to four different sites to perform mechanical removal and chemical treatment in order to eradicate these populations and prevent the spread of these adaptive and problematic weeds.

PEST DETECTION TRAPPING

There were 8,441 traps placed for the detection of exotic insect pests including Mediterranean, Oriental, and Melon Fruit Flies, Gypsy Moth, Japanese Beetle, Khapra Beetle, European Grapevine Moth (EGVM), and Light Brown Apple Moth (LBAM). These traps were serviced 68,650 times. There were 85 traps placed in nurseries for the detection of Glassy-Winged Sharpshooter (GWSS), which were serviced 1,358 times. In addition, 475 traps were placed in urban areas for the detection of GWSS, which were serviced 5,826 times. Both EGVM and LBAM were detected through trapping in 2011, which included only 9 confirmed finds of EGVM compared with the 59 confirmed finds encountered in 2010 here in Sonoma County.

PEST EXCLUSION

A total of 2,245 premise visits occurred in 2011, and during these visits we inspected 32,263 shipments of plant material. Inspections occurred at the express carriers, nurseries, the post office, feed mills, as well as vineyards, orchards and other farms. Twenty-eight rejections of plant material were made, which is a decrease of almost 40% from 2010 rejections. Rejected plant material is returned to the shipper, reconditioned and released, or destroyed. To prevent the spread of GWSS into Sonoma County, department personnel inspected 1,683 shipments of nursery material arriving from infested counties in California. There were no shipments of plant material rejected for the presence of viable GWSS egg masses or other life stages. To assist our industry, we issued 121 federal phytosanitary documents for international shipments and 773 state phytosanitary documents for shipments to other states.

EXOTIC PEST SPECIES INTERCEPTED IN 2010

European Grapevine Moth	Light Brown Apple Moth	Vine Mealybug
Lobesía botrana	Epiphyas postvittana	Planococcus ficus
Rush Skeletonweed	Iberian Starthistle	Illyrian Thistle
Chondrilla juncea	Centaurea íberíca	Onopordum illyricum

VINEYARD AND ORCHARD SITE DEVELOPMENT


The Sonoma County Board of Supervisors adopted a comprehensive Grading, Drainage, and Vineyard and Orchard Site Development Ordinance in December of 2008, which integrated the Vineyard Erosion and Sediment Control Ordinance (VESCO) that was adopted in 2000. The purpose of the ordinance is to help prevent soil erosion, and protect water quality and other natural resources. In addition to vineyards, the new ordinance requires an erosion and sediment control plan be approved prior to planting or replanting orchards as well. The figures in the table below include projects for both vineyards and orchards.

In collaboration with other agencies, organizations and individuals, our agriculture division developed a "Best Management Practices for Agricultural Erosion and Sediment Control". This handbook provides guidelines necessary to control water quality impacts from accelerated soil erosion due to agricultural activities in Sonoma County. The handbook describes and shows basic practices effective at reducing erosion and sedimentation, and how to implement these practices.

PROJECTS SUBMITTED*													
	New								Rep	lants			TOTAL
	Lev	/el I	Lev	/el II	Acreage	Project	Level I Level II Acreage Projec			Project	Projects		
Year	Projects	Acreage	Projects	Acreage	Total	Total	Projects	Acreage	Projects	Acreage	Total	Total	
2006	49	544	13	151	695	62	78	785	6	47	832	84	146
2007	45	419	21	115	534	66	100	1,001	4	22	1,023	104	170
2008	61	1,157	27	272	1,429	88	97	1,110	4	22	1,132	101	189
2009	24	150	20	377	527	44	57	1,046	5	88	1,134	62	106
2010	10	82	13	75	157	23	51	783	0	0	783	51	74
2011	19	132	8	86	218	27	67	769	4	150	919	7 I	98

^{*} Chart displays the number of projects submitted, not necessarily completed, since 2006.

Total Projects Per Year 120 100 80 60 40 20 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011



Destination	Number of Certificates
Canada	50
Japan	15
China	11
French Polynesia	9
France	9
Spain	6
Mexico	4
Germany	3
Australia	2
Costa Rica	2
Afghanistan	1
Austria	1
Brazil	1
Chile	1
Columbia	1
Finland	1
Hungary	1
Portugal	1
Finland	1
South Africa	I

Commodities Shipped
Oak Pieces for Winemaking
Bird Seed
Corn
Herbs/Spices
Rose Plants
Iris Rhizomes
Vegetable Seed
Ferns
Grapevines
Oak Wine Barrels
Winegrapes

In 2011, the Sonoma County Agricultural Commissioner's Office issued 121 Federal Phytosanitary Certificates for international shipments to 20 countries, and 773 State Phytosanitary Certificates for shipments within the United States. These certificates were issued by staff to assist the agricultural industry and allow certified plant materials to cross quarantine boundaries. Phytosanitary certificates document that materials to be shipped have been inspected and certified free from pests as required by the importing state or country.

TIMBER HARVEST, AND COMMERCIAL FISH LANDINGS



TIMBER HARVEST*

Year	Production	Unit	Value (a)
2011	6,542,000	board feet	\$1,728,445
2010	8,902,000	board feet	\$4,020,231

(a) value of timber immediately before cutting

* Most current data available

Most current data available.

Source: www.boe.ca.gov/proptaxes/timbertax.htm

Informational only









Species Species	IAL F	Pounds)	Value
Crab Dunganasa	2010	4,484,261	\$	7,659,341
Crab, Dungeness	2009	335,674	\$	945,289
Salmon, Chinook	2010	13,779	\$	60,596
Samon, Chinook	2009	0		
Sole, all	2010	32,247	\$	40,914
Joie, all	2009	70,060	\$	60,801
Rockfish, all	2010	36,759	\$	60,841
ROCKIISII, dii	2009	34,846	\$	54,723
Halibut, California	2010	10,776	\$	42,702
	2009	17,367	\$	67,435
Miscellaneous	2010	184,936	\$	104,362
Miscellarieous	2009	483,624	\$	324,771
Sablefish	2010	90,204	\$	252,075
Sabiensii	2009	57,563	\$	128,321
Lingcod	2010	2,169	\$	3,639
Lingcod	2009	3,263	\$	4,578
Tuna, Albacore	2010	18,983	\$	20,862
iulia, Albacore	2009	18,043	\$	18,489
Cabezon	2010	779	\$	3,693
Cauezuii	2009	158	\$	936
TOTAL	2010	4,874,893	\$	8,249,025
TOTAL	2009	1,020,598	\$	1,605,343

 $^{^*\}mbox{Most}$ recent figures provided by California Department of Fish and Game. Source: http://www.dfg.ca.gov/marine/landings09.asp Informational only

AGRICULTURE DIVISION SUMMARY

In 2011 the Agriculture Division:

- Administered state and federal quarantine restrictions to combat the spread of the European Grapevine Moth (EGVM). Staff placed and serviced approximately 7,000 traps for early detection, performed over 600 winery inspections, completed over 1,000 hauler inspections, and provided outreach through meetings, weekly emails, and website updates. One outreach objective was gaining cooperation from growers in treating their vineyards in an effort to eradicate EGVM. As a result of this outreach we were able to garner 98.5 % participation in treating for EGVM. Due to the success of this program a significant portion of the quarantine area is under a de-regulation plan for 2012. Nine EGVM were detected in traps in Sonoma County in 2011 compared with 59 moths, which were trapped in 2010.
- Registered 471 sites through the Frost Protection Ordinance. This ordinance requires vineyard and orchard frost water protection users in the Russian River Watershed to register with the Agricultural Commissioner's Office. This registration will collect survey information on the nature of each frost protection system infrastructure, as well as water diversions. This information will aid in addressing the potential conflict frost mitigation poses to salmonid populations.
- The department also continued work with other resource protection agencies, the grower community, and environmental groups in response to the State Water Resources Control Board's Frost Protection Regulations and the County Frost Protection Ordinance. An educational workshop on water issues and regulations targeted for growers was hosted by the Agricultural Commissioner's Office in collaboration with the Sotoyome and Southern Sonoma County Resource Conservation Districts and the Sonoma County Winegrape Commission, with over 350 in attendance.
- Implemented a new statewide pesticide permitting program, CalAgPermits. This new program creates congruency statewide for pesticide permitting practices and allows growers, maintenance gardeners, and commercial applicators to submit online pesticide use reports, as well as notices of intent for the use of restricted materials.

Did You Know That in 2011 the Agriculture Division...

- Inspected approximately 36,400 incoming shipments of plant material at nurseries and shipping terminals for exotic, invasive, and agricultural pests
- Issued 160 Producer Certificates and 18 Farmers' Market certificates
- Registered 38 new organic producers
- Inspected nearly 3 million chicken eggs for defects via representative sampling
- Issued 894 phytosanitary certificates for the export of plant material out of Sonoma County
- Diverted more than 18,000 pounds of plastic containers from the landfill through the division's two recycling events with approximately 161 growers participating
- Inspected 47 shipments of household articles for the presence of Gypsy Moth, which includes thoroughly examining items such as BBQs, boats, cars, fire wood, recreational vehicles, patio furniture, and other articles originating from portions of the United States infested with Gypsy Moth (Lymantria dispar)
- Surveyed more than 1,000 Sonoma County producers in preparation for the 2011 Agricultural Crop Report
- Issued 542 Operator Identification numbers/Permits and received 56,621 use reports from pesticide applicators in agricultural
 and urban settings, and conducted 19 investigations for suspected illnesses and complaints as part of the Pesticide Use
 Enforcement program



WEIGHTS AND MEASURES DIVISION SUMMARY

The Weights and Measures Division works in partnership with the state Division of Measurement Standards to enforce California laws and regulations related to commerce. Weights and Measures Standards Specialists register and certify commercial weighing and measuring devices. It is also the responsibility of the Weights and Measures Division to register and verify the accuracy of all commercial devices used at the point of sale and to respond to all public complaints related to these commercial devices and their associated transactions.

Sonoma County consumers encounter commercial devices frequently in their daily lives. These include retail fuel dispensers, grocery store scales, deli scales, taxi cab meters, and utility sub-meters used at local mobile home parks. Weights and Measures Standards Specialists inspect these devices for accuracy to maintain the integrity of commerce here in the county.

The Weights and Measures Division...

In 2011, Inspected...

- 4,347 Retail fuel dispensers
- 900 Electric sub-meters
- 905 Natural gas sub-meters
- 1,330 Grocery store scales
- 392 Water sub-meters
- 108 Taxi cab meters

Is intricately involved in Sonoma County agriculture as over 500 different types of scales are used in local agricultural industries and in 2011 the division inspected...

- 103 Hanging scales used in local farm markets
- 236 Dormant scales used to weigh produce bins
- 98 Crane scales used to weigh grapes in the field
- 58 Vehicle scales used to weigh truck loads of winegrapes
- 20 Livestock scales used by local ranchers

Is also responsible for performing price verification audits at local retail establishments that use a "point of sale" scanner system to charge for merchandise and in 2011,

- Approximately 600 local businesses were registered under the county point of sale ordinance and each of these businesses received an annual price verification audit.
- Approximately 20,790 items were scanned at local retailers for pricing integrity. Failed inspections trigger a follow up and where appropriate, fines are levied for businesses that demonstrate pricing integrity deviations.





WINNING AG DAY ESSAY

Ag Days is a Sonoma County tradition. The Sonoma County Farm Bureau annually sponsors the two-day event, which is a celebration of Sonoma County's Agricultural industry and the rich heritage that continues to define a way of life for Sonoma County residents. Ag Days offer an opportunity for thousands of school children to learn more about farming and where their food comes from, allowing them to connect with the farms and ranches that blanket the county. An essay writing contest is one of many farm-themed contests held each year, which also include posters, murals, scarecrow building, and farm photography. Following is the winning Ag Days Essay for 2011.

Healthy Farms, Healthy Foods in Sonoma County

By Trevor Jones, 6th Grade Proctor Terrace Elementary School

What is a healthy farm? A healthy farm preserves the environment and produces healthy food. What is healthy food? A healthy food is whole and unprocessed and fills your body with nutrients. Sonoma County has both healthy farms and healthy foods.

Farmers in Sonoma County keep their farms healthy by using pesticides and fertilizers wisely if not at all. This helps protect Sonoma County's rivers and soils from too many chemicals. Out drinking water also remains healthy for us and the animals we eat.

Sonoma County Farmers are committed to keeping our farms healthy. How does that help? The healthy farms that produce healthy foods make a healthy Sonoma County. Buy local foods and you'll know it's coming from healthy farms.



VISION

A thriving agricultural industry, healthy community, environment, and economy

MISSION

To promote and protect agriculture, the health and safety of our community, environment and the economy through education and the enforcement of laws and regulations,

AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER/SEALER OF WEIGHTS and MEASURES

Tony Linegar

Agriculture Division Staff

ASSISTANT AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER

Lisa Correia

CHIEF DEPUTY AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER

Sue Ostrom

DEPUTY AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER

Dan Curtin - Priscilla Lane - Alexis Ramey

SENIOR AGRICULTURAL BIOLOGIST

Pete Albers - Cree Morgan - Paul Turano - Marilyn Vernon

AGRICULTURAL BIOLOGIST

Gary Bjork - Gayle Carter - David Jagdeo

Alex McVicker - Jessica Scroggins - Andrew Smith

WILDLIFE SPECIALIST

Jeff Furlong

AGRICULTURE AND VINEYARD CONSERVATION COORDINATOR

Gail Davis

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES OFFICER

Natalie Brunamonte

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

Gretchen Melendy

DEPARTMENT ANALYST

Esther Martinez

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT STAFF

Mary Halasz - Nina Reeser - Sue Taylor-Fellbaum — Ashley Meyer Senior Agricultural Program Assistant

Maggie Furlong

AGRICULTURAL PROGRAM ASSISTANT

Laura Barnthouse, Colleen Boe, Kevin Brady, David Burtis Kris Catching, Kim Covington, Andrew Griffin, Travis Howard, Tina Hughes, Anne Elise Krug, Andre Napolitano, John O'Keeffe, Prahlada Papper, Capella Parrish, Andrew Peasley, Laurie Richards, David Stuart, Jodie Vent, Brittney Yolo

Weights and Measures Division Staff

CHIEF DEPUTY SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

Fernando Vasquez

SENIOR STANDARDS SPECIALIST

Michael Barrett

STANDARDS SPECIALISTS

Thomas LeClerc ~ Katy McCoy ~ Michael Milligan Greg Peters ~ Jeffrey Yparraguirre



IN MEMORY OF JOSEPH GRANT GRAY

4/30/64 - 12/1/2011



It is with great sadness and respect we include this tribute to Joseph Gray in the 2011 Crop Report. Joseph worked for the Sonoma County Agricultural Commissioner's Office for 15 years. Prior to Sonoma County, Joseph worked for both Yolo and Fresno County Agricultural Commissioner's Offices. He began his career in Sonoma County as an Agricultural Biologist I and quickly worked his way up the ranks to Deputy Agricultural Commissioner. Joseph was instrumental in overseeing the Geographic Information System and the electronic pesticide permit program, winegrape inspection and apple maturity testing at harvest time, and for assisting growers with all of the various agricultural programs administered by the office. He was a graduate of UC Davis, with a Bachelor's degree in Agricultural Science and Management.

Joseph was also very active outside of work as a volunteer. He served as an active member and past president of the North Bay 20-30 Club, and organized and participated in numerous agricultural education and outreach events. He was also a proud and active member of the Sonoma County Farm Bureau.

Joseph had a wealth of knowledge and experience, and was a great resource for the agricultural community and department staff. He was a genuine good guy, always willing to listen and help others, as witnessed by his volunteer work and admiration in the community. He believed in the importance of the Department and the agricultural industry. Joseph and his contributions to the Agricultural Commissioner's Office and community, smile, and sense of humor will always be appreciated and missed by all that knew him.

