# 1995/1996 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA COOPERATIVE EXTENSION

# SAMPLE COSTS TO PRODUCE

# ~WHEAT~



# Dryland and Conventional Tillage Conditions SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY

by

Etaferahu Takele, Area Farm Management Economics Advisor, Southern Region Michael Smith, Farm Advisor, San Luis Obispo County

#### SAMPLE COSTS TO PRODUCE DRYLAND WHEAT

Under Conventional Tillage Practices San Luis Obispo County - 1995/1996

#### INTRODUCTION

Detailed costs to produce wheat in San Luis Obispo County are presented in this study. The hypothetical farm used consists of 5,000 acres which are in conventionally tilled dryland wheat and barley production.

This study consists of General Assumptions we used for producing wheat along with six tables of cost analyses. The practices described are considered typical for wheat production in San Luis Obispo County. They do not reflect the exact values or practices of any grower or shipper, but are rather an amalgamation of costs and practices in the region. Sample costs given for labor, materials, equipment and contract services are based on 1995/1996 prices. Some costs and practices detailed in this study may not be applicable to your situation. The use of trade names in this report does not constitute an endorsement or recommendation by the University of California nor is any criticism implied by omission of other similar products. This study is intended as a guide, it can be used in making production decisions, determining potential returns, preparing budgets and evaluating production loans.

Costs are presented in six tables.

- Table 1. Costs Per Acre To Produce Wheat
- Table 2. Costs And Returns Per Acre To Produce Wheat
- Table 3. Monthly Cash Costs Per Acre To Produce Wheat
- Table 4. Annual Equipment, Investment And Business Overhead
- Table 5. **Hourly Equipment Costs**
- Table 6. **Ranging Analysis**

A blank *Your Costs* column is provided to enter your actual costs on **Tables 1** and **2**, **Costs Per Acre To Produce Wheat** and **Costs And Returns Per Acre To Produce Wheat**.

For an explanation of calculations used for the study refer to the attached General Assumptions, call Area Farm Management Economics Advisor, Eta Takele at the University of California Cooperative Extension, Moreno Valley, California, (909) 683-6491 ext. 243 or call San Luis Obispo County Agronomy Farm Advisor, Michael Smith, (805) 237-3100.

The University of California Cooperative Extension in compliance with the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 does not discriminate on the basis of race, creed, religion, color, national origins, or mental or physical handicaps in any of its programs or activities, or with respect to any of its employment practices or procedures. The University of California does not discriminate on the basis of age, ancestry, sexual orientation, marital status, citizenship, medical condition (as defined in section 12926 of the California Government Code) or because the individuals are disabled or Vietnam era veterans. Inquiries regarding this policy may be directed to the Personnel Studies and Affirmative Action Manager, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2120 University Avenue, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, (415) 644-4270.

University of California and the United States Department of Agriculture cooperating.

#### ASSUMPTIONS USED IN THIS STUDY

The following is a description of some of the general assumptions used in the development of the sample costs for producing dryland wheat under conventional tillage conditions in San Luis Obispo County in 1995/96.

# 1. LAND, CLIMATE, AND ROTATION:

Areas that produce dryland wheat in the Central Coast are primarily located inland from the coast, east of the Santa Lucia Mountain and the Sierra Madre Mountain ranges.

The site for the hypothetical farm is characterized by both level plains and some moderate to highly erodable hillsides and hilltops. The farm is 5000 acres, one-half of which is planted to dryland wheat and the other one-half to dryland barley both under conventional tillage.

**Land Rent:** Growers in the Central Coast both own and rent land for wheat production. Rents or leases are charged as a per acre cash rent or share rent based on gross returns or yields. Share rents normally range between 18 to 25%. In this study we used a share rent of 20% of the gross returns payable to the owner of the land.

<u>Climate:</u> Annual rainfall for this region varies from 3 to 30 inches, most of which comes in the winter months. Historically temperatures have ranged from 0\_ to 117\_ with the extremes occurring in the winter and summer. Growers plan their cropping system around these conditions in order to take advantage of the best possible growing conditions for dryland wheat.

**Rotation:** Rotation is recognized as having beneficial effects on dryland wheat production for storing soil moisture, and control of weeds and pests. Weeds not controlled by herbicides are usually managed by fallow rotation. The major agronomic commodities produced in this region has been wheat and barley, although there is interest in hay and safflower. It is important to note that cattle grazing is a very important part of rotational practices for growers in this region.

Amount and timing of annual rainfall, and weed pressures are the most important agronomic factors influencing crop rotation. Dryland wheat is very dependent on the amount of rain and how well it is stored in the soil profile. In this study we used a 2-year rotation of wheat and summer fallow. Low precipitation or weed infestations that use up stored soil water may cause growers to use a rotation pattern different from the two year rotation described in this report.

# 3. CULTURAL PRACTICES AND PRODUCTION INPUTS

Cultural practices for the production of conventional tillage, dryland wheat in the Carrizo Plains region vary somewhat from grower to grower. Differences in cultural inputs can be caused by seasonal pests and weed pressures, the timing and amounts of rainfall as well as government regulations. Practices and inputs used in this cost study are based on typical grower practices in the region.

<u>Weed Control:</u> The most common and troublesome weeds in this region are wild mustard (*Brassica nigra*), field bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*), wild radish (*Paphanus sativus* L.), coast fiddleneck (*Amsinka intermedia*), shepardspurse (*Capsella bursa-pastoris* L.), ripgut brome (*Bromus diandrus*), wild oats (*Avena fatua*) and Russian thistle (*Salsola iberica*). Proper weed management is essential for a profitable crop. Weed competition for water and nutrients can significantly reduce dryland wheat yields.

In this study, herbicides, cultivation and rotation are used for weed control.

Weed control using cultivation techniques begins in October after harvest, when a stubble disc loosens soil prior to winter rains. The following March, a single pass of a chisel plow knocks down many of the winter annual weeds. In April and then again in May, sweeps or points are used to eliminate most of the remaining weeds. In August, weeds that have escaped the prior tillage practices are pulled up with a rodweeder. A field cultivator may be used to pre-work the soil prior to the use of the rod-weeder depending on the specific site and soil type, but always prior to the fall planting.

Weed control using herbicides is practiced by some growers in place of cultivation in fallow land. The decision whether to use cultivation or chemical methods is based on soil type, topography, the amounts and timing of rainfall as well as personal preference. Roundup is the most common post-emergent herbicide applied to control weeds that have emerged after the initial winter rains in fallow land.

Both the choice of post-emergent herbicide(s) and timing of application are dependent on the specific site and weed type. In this study, during March, one-half of the acreage is sprayed by air with a combination of Glean and 2,4-D amine to control Russian Thistle and other broadleaves. Avenge is applied to the other half of the acreage to control wild oats and retard the growth of ripgut brome.

Pesticides, rates, and cultural practices mentioned in this cost study are listed in the *UC IPM Small Grains Pest Management Guidelines*, and *Integrated Pest Management for Small Grains*. Written recommendations are required for many pesticides and are made by licensed pest control advisors. For information and pesticide use permits, contact the Agricultural Commissioner's office in either Paso Robles or San Luis Obispo. For additional production information contact the San Luis Obispo County agronomy farm advisor.

<u>Fertilization:</u> Nitrogen and phosphorus are the major nutrients required for dryland wheat production. Aqua ammonia (20-0-0) is commonly the principle form of nitrogen used to fertilize wheat, though other formulations of nitrogen provide supplemental amounts of N. Aqua ammonia is injected into the soil at the same time as the last tillage operation just prior to planting. Additional "starter" fertilizers that contain nitrogen and significant amounts of phosphorus and sulfur, such as 26-14-0-12 and 30-12-0-8, are applied during the planting operation via the grain drill. Fertilizer rates on the Carrizo Plains are relatively low compared to other regions: In this study, aqua ammonia supplies about one-half of the applied N and 26-14-0-12 the other half, totaling 40 pounds of N per acre. Some growers may apply additional N by topdressing between tillering and jointing.

**Planting:** Planting is accomplished with grain drills. Fields are cultivated prior to planting, permitting conventional grain drills or air seeders to be used. Dryland wheat is planted at rates of 70 to 90 pounds per acre. As noted in fertilizer section, additional granular 26-14-0-12 may be applied concurrently with seeding.

The most common seed variety used in San Luis Obispo County is Yacora Rojo, except on the Carrizo Plains where Inia 66 is used. The cost of seed is calculated at market prices plus \$25 per ton for custom cleaning and 10% to cover cleaning losses.

The optimum time to plant is considered to be mid-November through January.

#### 4. HARVEST AND TRANSPORTATION:

**Harvest:** Typically growers with this size farm will own their combines, truck-tractors, grain trailers, and support equipment. The combines are specifically designed for hillside use. This design lets the grain platform (or header) and chassis run at the same slope as the hill while the cab and grain bin remain upright. In this study, 20 foot header combines are used. Truck-tractors are used to haul empty grain trailers up and along side combines so harvested grain can be directly loaded into them. Full trailers are hauled from fields to either on farm storage facilities or to market.

**Hauling:** Growers own their own trucks and trailers and often haul their grain to market themselves. The other option is to have the wheat hauled by a contract hauling company. Either way, the growers bear the cost of transportation. Typical hauling charges are \$8 per ton from on-farm storage and \$10 per ton from the field.

Harvesting is done either by the growers or on custom contract basis. In this study, the grower harvests the crop. Harvest equipment are listed in investment costs in Table 4. and labor, fuel, repairs, depreciation, and operating interest, are calculated as harvest costs in Table 1.

# 5. YIELDS & RETURNS

<u>Yields</u>: Crop yields for wheat in San Luis Obispo County over the past seven years range from 0.65 to 1.25 tons per acre. County average yields from 1988 to 1994 are shown in **Table A**. In this study, a wheat yield of 1.20 tons per acre is used.

**Returns:** Average wheat prices have ranged from \$90 to \$135 per ton for San Luis Obispo County growers. Grower prices over the last seven years are shown in **Table A**. These prices do not include any income from government programs. However, federal farm programs can play an important role in dryland wheat production and require consideration by growers and landowners in estimating their returns and profitability. Growers should contact their local FSA office to determine how best to use these services.

Table A. Average Yield and Price for Wheat, San Luis Obispo County, 1988 - 1994  $^{1/}$ 

Year	Tons Per Acre	\$ Per Ton
1994	0.97	122
1993	1.00	97
1992	0.92	110
1991	0.65	90
1990	0.58	105
1989	0.65	135
1988	0.95	118

<sup>1/</sup>From San Luis Obispo County Crop Reports, 1988 - 1994

# 6. <u>RISK</u>

The risks associated with dryland wheat production should be noted. While this study makes every effort to model a production system based on typical, real world practices, it cannot fully represent financial, agronomic and market risks which affect the profitability and economic viability of wheat production.

Risk is caused by various sources of uncertainty which include production, price, and financial. Examples of risk include insect damage, a decrease in price, and an increase in interest rates. Because of these risks, access to production information as well as financial and market information is crucial.

# 7. LABOR

Basic hourly wages for workers are \$5.00 per hour for both machine operators and non-machine workers. Adding 34% for SDI, FICA, insurance and other benefits gives the labor rates shown of \$6.70 per hour for labor. The labor for operations involving machinery are 20% higher than non-machine operation time to account for the extra labor involved in equipment set up, moving, maintenance and repair.

## 8. CASH OVERHEAD

Cash overhead consists of various cash expenses paid out during the year that are assigned to the whole farm and not to a particular operation. These costs include property taxes, interest on operating capital, office expense, liability and property insurance, and equipment repairs.

**Property Taxes:** In California, counties charge a base property tax rate of 1% on the assessed value of the property. In some counties special assessment districts exist and charge additional taxes on property including equipment, buildings, and improvements. For this study, county taxes are calculated as 1% of the average value of the property. Average value equals new cost plus salvage value divided by 2 on a per acre basis.

<u>Interest On Operating Capital</u>: Interest on operating capital is based on cash operating costs and is calculated monthly until harvest at a nominal rate of 7.89% per year. A nominal interest rate is the going market cost of borrowed funds during the production year.

<u>Insurance</u>: Insurance for farm investments vary depending on the assets included and the amount of coverage. Property insurance provides coverage for property loss and is charged at 0.713% of the average value of the assets over their useful life. Liability insurance covers accidents on the farm and costs \$960 for the entire farm or \$0.2 per producing acre of land.

Office Expense: Office and business expenses are estimated at \$5 per acre. These expenses include office supplies, telephones, bookkeeping, accounting, legal fees, road maintenance, etc. Cash overhead costs are found in **Tables 1**, **2**, **3** and **4**.

## 9. NON-CASH OVERHEAD

Non-cash overhead is comprised of depreciation and interest charged on equipment and other investments. Purchase of used equipment is common with dryland wheat growers operating on very narrow margins. In this study the current purchase price for new equipment is adjusted to 40% of new value to indicate the mix of new and used equipment. Annual equipment and investments costs are

shown in **Tables 1** and **4**. They represent depreciation and opportunity costs for each investment on an annual per acre basis.

**Depreciation:** Depreciation is a reduction in market value of investments due to wear, obsolescence, and age, and is on a straight line basis. Annual depreciation is calculated as purchase price minus salvage value divided by years the investment is held. The purchase price and years of life are shown in **Table 4**.

<u>Interest On Investment</u>: Interest is charged on investments to account for income foregone (opportunity cost) that could be received from an alternative investment. The investments are assumed to be owned outright. Therefore, interest on investments is a non-cash cost. Investments include land, buildings, and equipment. Interest is calculated as the average value of the investment during its useful life, multiplied by 3.72% per year. Average value for equipment and buildings equals new cost plus salvage value divided by 2 on a per acre basis.

The interest rate used to calculate opportunity cost is estimated as a ten year average of the agricultural sector long run rate of return to production assets. It is used to reflect the long-term realized rate of return to these specialized resources that can only be used effectively in the agricultural sector.

# 10. EQUIPMENT CASH COSTS

Equipment costs are composed of three parts; non-cash overhead, cash overhead, and operating costs. Both of the overhead factors have been discussed in previous sections. The operating costs consist of fuel, lubrication, and repairs.

In allocating the equipment costs on a per acre basis, hourly charges are calculated first and shown in **Table 5**. Repair costs are based on purchase price, annual hours of use, total hours of life, and repair coefficients formulated by the American Society of Agricultural Engineers (ASAE). Fuel and lubrication costs are also determined by ASAE equations based on maximum PTO HP, and type of fuel used. The fuel and repair cost per acre for each operation in **Table 1** is determined by multiplying the total hourly operating cost in **Table 5** for each piece of equipment used for the cultural practice by the number of hours per acre for that operation. Tractor time is 10% higher than implement time for a given operation to account for setup time. Prices for on-farm delivery of diesel and gasoline are \$0.95 and \$1.20 per gallon, respectively.

## 11. ADDENDUM

- 1. Due to rounding, totals may be slightly different from the sum of components.
- 2. The per acre equipment costs in Table 1 reflect both the value and the level of use (hours and years of use) of the machinery complement. Therefore this cost could be different from the per acre value of the machinery complement in Table 4.

# 12. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Appreciation is expressed to Paul Zellman, Staff Research Associate, who was involved at the initial stage of the development of this cost study and Delos Walton, Staff Research Associate, for assisting in the development of the final report. We also express our appreciation to those growers and other cooperators who provided data for the development of this cost study.

# **REFERENCES**:

- 1. American Society of Agricultural Engineers. 1992. <u>American Society of Agricultural Engineers Standards Yearbook</u>. St. Joseph, MI.
- 2. Blank, Steve, Karen Klonsky, Kim Norris, and Steve Orloff. 1992. Acquiring alfalfa hay equipment: A financial analysis of alternatives. Giannini Information Series No. 92-1. Univ. of California. Oakland, CA.
- 3. Boelje, Michael D., and Vernon R. Eidman. 1984. <u>Farm Management</u>. John Wiley and Sons. New York, NY
  - 4. Statewide IPM Project. 1981. <u>Integrated Pest Management for Small Grains</u>. Pub. 3312. UC DANR Oakland, CA.
- 5. Statewide IPM Project. 1990. <u>UC Pest Management Guidelines, Small Grains</u>. *In* M. L. Flint (ed.) <u>UC IPM pest management guidelines</u>. Pub. 3339. IPM Education and Pub. Univ. of California, Div. of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Oakland, CA.

### U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION COSTS PER ACRE TO PRODUCE WHEAT SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY - 1995/96

Labor Rate: \$ 6.70/hr. machine labor

\$ 0.00/hr. non-machine labor

7.89% Interest Rate:

Yield per Acre: 1.20 Ton

	Operation		Ca	ash and Labor Co	sts per Acre		
	Time	Labor	Fuel,Lube	Material	Custom/	Total	Your
Operation Operation	(Hrs/A)	Cost	& Repairs	Cost	Rent	Cost	Cost
Cultural:							
Stubble Disc	0.14	1.11	5.20	0.00	0.00	6.31	
Chisel Plow	0.10	0.83	3.43	0.00	0.00	4.26	
Cultivate	0.15	1.21	4.89	0.00	0.00	6.10	
Rod Weeder	0.04	0.33	1.30	0.00	0.00	1.63	
Aqua Rig Injection	0.05	0.41	1.95	4.20	0.00	6.56	
Drill seed & starter fertilize	0.06	0.46	3.04	16.61	0.00	20.12	
Aerial Post-emerg. Herbicide	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.18	3.75	7.93	
Pickup Truck Use	0.16	1.29	0.81	0.00	0.00	2.09	
TOTAL CULTURAL COSTS	0.70	5.63	20.63	24.99	3.75	55.01	
Harvest:							
Harvest	0.10	1.61	7.89	0.00	0.00	9.50	
Haul from field to storage	0.05	0.40	0.73	0.00	0.00	1.13	
TOTAL HARVEST COSTS	0.15	2.01	8.62	0.00	0.00	10.63	
Postharvest:							
Haul to LA Market	0.08	0.64	0.00	0.00	11.90	12.54	
TOTAL POSTHARVEST COSTS	0.08	0.64	0.00	0.00	11.90	12.54	
Interest on operating capital @	7.89%					4.20	
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACRE		8.29	29.25	24.99	15.65	82.39	
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/TON						68.66	
CASH OVERHEAD:							
Liability Insurance						0.20	
Office Expense						5.00	
Share Rent						28.08	
Property Taxes						0.82	
Property Insurance						0.58	
Investment Repairs						0.61	
TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD COSTS					_	35.29	
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE						117.68	
TOTAL CASH COSTS/TON						98.06	

Table 1. `

Table 1. Continued

NON-CASH OVERHEAD:				
	Per producing	Anı	nual Cost	
Investment	Acre	Depreciation	Interest @ 3.72%	
Fuel Tanks & Pumps	3.63	0.22	0.07	0.29
Fuel Wagon	0.36	0.03	0.01	0.04
Grain Storage	12.00	0.36	0.25	0.61
Shop Building	11.94	0.54	0.24	0.78
Shop Tools	2.39	0.11	0.05	0.16
Equipment	118.61	10.03	2.43	12.46
TOTAL NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS	148.93	11.28	3.05	14.33
TOTAL COSTS/ACRE				132.01
TOTAL COSTS/TON				110.01

Table 2. COSTS AND RETURNS PER ACRE TO PRODUCE WHEAT SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY - 1995/96

Labor Rate: \$ 6.70/hr.	machine labor		Interest	Rate: 7.89	)응
			Price or	Value or	You
	Quantity/Acre	Unit	Cost/Unit	Cost/Acre	Co
GROSS RETURNS					
TOTAL GROSS RETURNS FOR WHEA	AT 1.20	Ton	115.00	138.00	
OPERATING COSTS					
Fertilizer:					
Aqua Ammonia	100.00	Lb	0.042	4.20	
26-14-0-12	75.00	Lb	0.118	8.85	
Seed: Wheat Seed	80.00	Lb	0.097	7.76	
Custom:Aerial Application	1.00	Acre	3.75	3.75	
Haul to LA	1.19	Ton	10.00	11.90	
Herbicide:					
2,4-D Amine	0.33	Gal	12.68	4.18	
Labor (machine)	1.24	hrs	6.70	8.29	
Fuel - Gas	0.40	gal	1.20	0.48	
Fuel - Diesel	13.29	gal	1.15	15.28	
Lube				2.36	
Machinery repair				11.12	
Interest on operating of	capital @ 7.	89%		4.20	
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/A	ACRE			82.39	
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/	FON			68.66	
NET RETURNS ABOVE OPERA	ATING COSTS			55.61	
	CASH OVERHEA	D COSTS:			
Liability Insurance				0.20	
Office Expense				5.00	
Share Rent				28.08	
Property Taxes				0.82	
Property Insurance				0.58	
Investment Repairs				0.61	
TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD CO	STS/ACRE			35.29	
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE				117.68	
TOTAL CASH COSTS/TON				98.06	
NON-CASH OVE	RHEAD COSTS (DE	PRECIATION	& INTEREST):		
Fuel Tanks & Pumps	,		- /	0.29	
Fuel Wagon				0.04	
Grain Storage				0.61	
Shop Building				0.78	
Shop Tools				0.16	
Equipment				12.46	
TOTAL NON-CASH OVERHEAI	O COSTS/ACRE			14.33	
TOTAL COSTS/ACRE				132.01	
TOTAL COSTS/ACKE				110.01	
NET RETURNS ABOVE TOTA	T 000T0			5.99	

# MONTHLY CASH COSTS PER ACRE TO PRODUCE WHEAT

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY - 1995/96 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Beginning OCT 94 OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP Ending SEP 96 94 94 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 94 Cultural: Stubble Disc 6.31 Chisel Plow 4.26 Cultivate 3.05 Rod Weeder 1.63 Aqua Rig Injection Drill seed & starter fertilizer Aerial Post-emerg. Herbicide Pickup Truck Use 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 TOTAL CULTURAL COSTS 6.48 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 4.44 3.22 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 1.81 Harvest: Harvest Haul from field to storage TOTAL HARVEST COSTS Postharvest: Haul to LA Market TOTAL POSTHARVEST COSTS 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.05 0.05 0.08 0.10 Interest on oper. capital 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.11 0.11 TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACRE 6.53 0.22 0.22 0.22 0.22 4.51 3.32 0.27 0.27 0.28 1.92 0.29 5.44 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 3.76 2.77 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.24 TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/TON 1.60 OVERHEAD: Liability Insurance Office Expense 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 Share Rent Property Taxes 0.41 0.41 0.29 0.29 Property Insurance Investment Repairs 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD COSTS 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.96 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.96 0.26 0.26 TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE 6.78 0.48 0.48 1.18 0.48 4.77 3.58 0.53 0.53 1.24 2.18 0.55 TOTAL CASH COSTS/TON 5.65 0.40 0.40 0.98 0.40 3.98 2.98 0.44 0.44 1.03 1.82 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Table 3.

# MONTHLY CASH COSTS PER ACRE TO PRODUCE WHEAT

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY - 1995/96

Beginning OCT 94	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
Ending SEP 96	95	95 	95 	96	96 	96 	96	96	96 	96 	96	96 	
Cultural:													
Stubble Disc													6.31
Chisel Plow													4.26
Cultivate	3.05												6.10
Rod Weeder													1.63
Aqua Rig Injection		6.56											6.56
Drill seed & starter fertil		20.12				7 02							20.12
Aerial Post-emerg. Herbicid	le					7.93							7.93
Pickup Truck Use -													2.09
TOTAL CULTURAL COSTS	3.05	26.68				7.93							55.01
Harvest:													
Harvest										9.50			9.50
Haul from field to storage										1.13			1.13
TOTAL HARVEST COSTS										10.63			10.63
Postharvest:													
Haul to LA Market												12.54	12.54
TOTAL POSTHARVEST COSTS												12.54	12.54
Interest on oper. capital	0.13	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.43			4.18
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACRE	3.18	26.99	0.31	0.31	0.31	8.30	0.36	0.36	0.36	11.06		12.54	82.36
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/TON	2.65	22.49	0.26	0.26	0.26	6.91	0.30	0.30	0.30	9.22		10.45	68.63
OVERHEAD:													
Liability Insurance				0.20									0.20
Office Expense	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	5.00
Share Rent										28.08			28.08
Property Taxes													0.82
Property Insurance													0.58
Investment Repairs													0.61
TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD COSTS	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.41	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	28.29	0.21	0.21	35.29
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE	3.39	27.20	0.52	0.72	0.52	 8.50	0.57	0.57	0.57	 39.35	0.21	 12.75	117.65
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE	2.83	27.20	0.52	0.72	0.52	7.09	0.48	0.37	0.37	39.35	0.21	10.63	98.04
======================================													

Table 3. Continued.

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION

Table 4. WHOLE FARM ANNUAL EQUIPMENT, INVESTMENT, AND BUSINESS OVERHEAD COSTS TO PRODUCE WHEAT SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY - 1995/96

ANNUAL EQUIPMENT COSTS

=======================================												
			- Non-Cas	h Over	- Cash Ov	erhead -						
		Yrs	Depre-		Insur-							
Yr Description	Price	Life	ciation		ance							
96 300 HP 4WD Tractor	120000	12	9000.00	2455.20	470.58	660.00						
96 300 HP 4WD Tractor #2	120000	12	9000.00	2455.20	470.58	660.00	12585.78					
96 Aqua Rig-40' 800ga	20000	10	1800.00	409.20	78.43	110.00	2397.63					
96 Chisel Plow - 40'	17000	15	1020.00	347.82	66.67	93.50	1527.99					
96 Chisel Plow - 40' #2	17000	15	1020.00	347.82	66.67	93.50	1527.99					
96 Combine-20' Header	160000	10	14400.00	3273.60	627.44	880.00	19181.04					
96 Combine-20' Header #2	160000	10	14400.00	3273.60	627.44	880.00	19181.04					
96 Cultivator - 55'	15000	10	1350.00	306.90	58.82	82.50	1798.22					
96 Cultivator - 55' #2	15000	10	1350.00	306.90	58.82	82.50	1798.22					
96 Disc - Stubble 30'	37500	15	2250.00	767.25	147.06	206.25	3370.56					
96 Disc - Stubble 30' #2	37500	15	2250.00	767.25	147.06	206.25	3370.56					
96 Grain Drill - 36'	35000	10	3150.00	716.10	137.25	192.50	4195.85					
96 Grain Drill - 36' #2	35000	10	3150.00	716.10	137.25	192.50	4195.85					
96 Pickup 1/2 Ton	13125	7	1687.43	268.55	51.47	72.19	2079.64					
96 Pickup 1/2 Ton #2	13125	7	1687.43	268.55	51.47	72.19	2079.64					
96 Rod Weeder 50'	15000	10	1350.00	306.90	58.82	82.50	1798.22					
96 Truck & Grain Trlr	60000	10	5400.00	1227.60	235.29	330.00	7192.89					
TOTAL							100866.90					
	356100						40346.76					

<sup>\*</sup> Used to reflect a mix of new and used equipment.

#### ANNUAL INVESTMENT COSTS

Description	Price	Yrs Life	- Non-Cash Depre- ciation	n Over	Insur-	sh Overhea Taxes	ad Repairs	Total
Description	Price	ттте	Clation	Interest	ance	Taxes	Repairs	IOLAI
INVESTMENT								
Fuel Tanks & Pumps	18152	15	1089.13	371.39	71.18	99.84	363.00	1994.54
Fuel Wagon	1808	10	162.70	37.00	7.09	9.94	36.16	252.89
Grain Storage	60000	30	1800.00	1227.60	235.29	330.00	1200.00	4792.89
Shop Building	59682	20	2685.70	1221.09	234.04	328.25	1193.00	5662.08
Shop Tools	11936	20	537.10	244.22	46.81	65.65	238.70	1132.48
TOTAL INVESTMENT	151578		6274.63	3101.30	594.41	833.68	3030.86	13834.88

Table 4. Continued

Table 5.

#### ANNUAL BUSINESS OVERHEAD COSTS

=======================================	========	======		=======
	Units/		Price/	Total
Description	Farm	Unit	Unit	Cost
Liability Insurance	5000.00	Acre	0.20	1000.00
Office Expense	5000.00	Acre	5.00	25000.00
Share Rent	2500.00	Acre	28.08	70200.00
================	========	=======		=======

U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
HOURLY EQUIPMENT COSTS TO PRODUCE WHEAT
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY - 1996

\_\_\_\_\_\_

				C	OSTS PER	HOUR			
	Actual	-Non-Cas	h Over	- Cash Ov	erhead -		Operating		
	Hours	Depre-		Insur-			Fuel &	Total	Total
Yr Description	Used	ciation	Interest	ance	Taxes	Repairs	Lube	Oper.	Costs/Hr.
96 300 HP 4WD Tractor	1000.3	3.60	0.98	0.19	0.26	4.00	23.03	27.03	32.06
96 300 HP 4WD Tractor #2	999.6	3.60	0.98	0.19	0.26	4.00	23.03	27.03	32.07
96 Aqua Rig-40' 800ga	128.9	5.58	1.27	0.24	0.34	8.04	0.00	8.04	15.48
96 Chisel Plow - 40'	224.9	1.81	0.62	0.12	0.17	3.57	0.00	3.57	6.29
96 Chisel Plow - 40' #2	224.9	1.81	0.62	0.12	0.17	3.57	0.00	3.57	6.29
96 Combine-20' Header	275.0	20.95	4.76	0.91	1.28	19.38	16.50	35.88	63.78
96 Combine-20' Header #2	275.0	20.95	4.76	0.91	1.28	19.38	16.50	35.88	63.78
96 Cultivator - 55'	249.5	2.16	0.49	0.09	0.13	2.88	0.00	2.88	5.76
96 Cultivator - 55' #2	249.5	2.16	0.49	0.09	0.13	2.88	0.00	2.88	5.76
96 Disc - Stubble 30'	249.8	3.60	1.23	0.24	0.33	8.12	0.00	8.12	13.52
96 Disc - Stubble 30' #2	249.8	3.60	1.23	0.24	0.33	8.12	0.00	8.12	13.52
96 Grain Drill - 36'	143.3	8.80	2.00	0.38	0.54	11.70	0.00	11.70	23.42
96 Grain Drill - 36' #2	143.3	8.80	2.00	0.38	0.54	11.70	0.00	11.70	23.42
96 Pickup 1/2 Ton	285.0	2.37	0.38	0.07	0.10	1.59	3.45	5.04	7.96
96 Pickup 1/2 Ton #2	285.0	2.37	0.38	0.07	0.10	1.59	3.45	5.04	7.96
96 Rod Weeder 50'	199.1	2.71	0.62	0.12	0.17	1.82	0.00	1.82	5.43
96 Truck & Grain Trlr	200.0	10.80	2.46	0.47	0.66	9.62	4.96	14.58	28.96

# U.C. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION RANGING ANALYSIS TO PRODUCE WHEAT SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY - 1995/96

#### COSTS PER ACRE AT VARYING YIELDS TO PRODUCE WHEAT

		YI	ELD (TO	N/ACRE	)	
	0.80	1.00	1.20	1.40	1.60	
OPERATING	COSTS/A	ACRE:				
Cultural Cost	55	55	55	55	55	
Harvest Cost	5	8	11	14	16	
Postharvest Cost	13	13	13	13	13	
Interest on operating capital	4	4	4	4	4	
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACRE	77	79	82	85	88	
CASH OVERHEAD COSTS/ACRE	35	35	35	35	35	
TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE	112	115	118	121	124	
NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS/ACRE	16	13	14	14	15	
TOTAL COSTS/ACRE	128	128	132	135	138	

#### NET RETURNS PER ACRE ABOVE OPERATING COSTS FOR WHEAT

PRICE (DOLLARS PER TON)	0.80	YIELD 1.00	(TON/AC	RE) 1.40	1.60	
105.00	7	26	44	62	80	
110.00	11	31	50	69	88	
115.00	15	36	56	76	96	
120.00	19	41	62	83	104	
130.00	27	51	74	97	120	

Table 6. Continued

#### NET RETURNS PER ACRE ABOVE CASH COSTS FOR WHEAT

PRICE (DOLLARS PER TON)	0.80	YII 1.00	ELD (TON 1.20	J/ACRE) 1.40	1.60	
105.00	-28	-10	8	26	44	
110.00	-24	-5	14	33	52	
115.00	-20	0	20	40	60	
120.00	-16	5	26	47	68	
130.00	-8	15	38	61	84	

#### NET RETURNS PER ACRE ABOVE TOTAL COSTS FOR WHEAT

	PRICE		YIELD (TON/ACRE)				
(DOL	LARS PER TON)	0.80	1.00	1.20	1.40	1.60	
	105.00	-44	-23	-6	12	30	
	110.00	-40	-18	-0	19	38	
	115.00	-36	-13	6	26	46	
	120.00	-32	-8	12	33	54	
	130.00	-24	2	24	47	70	